

The U.S. Military: the armed wing of the international banking cartel

By Yuram Abdullah Weiler

2014-04-01

“My assessment is that 90% of the value of the U.S. dollar comes from the U.S. military.”
— Former Assistant Housing Secretary Catherine Austin Fitts¹

For decades, America has used its armed strength to enforce the use of the dollar as the world's reserve currency, effectively making the U.S. military the armed wing of the international banking cartel (IBC). Since 1971 when President Richard Nixon stopped paying U.S. debt obligations with gold,² America has increasingly used its military might to prop up the value of the dollar and enforce a global financial structure whose primary beneficiary is the U.S. itself, and whose central bank, the Federal Reserve, serves as the IBC's supervisory authority.

Who or what is this IBC? It consists of Bank of America, JP Morgan Chase, Citigroup and Wells Fargo along with Deutsche Bank, BNP and Barclays. Eight families reportedly control the IBC: the Goldman Sachs, Rockefellers, Lehmans, Kuhn Loeb, Rothschilds, Warburgs, Lazards and the Israel Moses Seifs. Besides owning the U.S. oil behemoths Exxon Mobil, Royal Dutch Shell, BP and Chevron Texaco, IBC member institutions are among the top ten shareholders of nearly every Fortune 500 company.³ While the IBC itself has no formal status, nevertheless its members are represented by an international body, the Financial Stability Board (FSB). Organized as the Financial Security Forum in 1999 by G7 finance ministers and central bank governors,⁴ the FSB “seeks to give momentum to a broad-based multilateral agenda for strengthening financial systems and the stability of international financial markets.”⁵

War is extremely profitable for the IBC, since not only do its members profit from financing arms sales to both sides during the conflicts that they themselves often initiate, but also from the post bellum reconstruction. In fact, the most powerful of the central banking institutions in the world, the Bank for International Settlements (BIS), was established in 1930 to oversee reparation payments imposed upon Germany by the Treaty of Versailles that ended the First World War. In addition to providing banking services for central banks worldwide, the BIS supervised the Bretton Woods international currency agreements from the Second World War until the early 1970s,⁶ when Nixon reneged on pledges to pay U.S. debt obligations in gold. The BIS also works with the International Monetary Fund (IMF) to expand the IBC-imposed debt-dependency cycle among the nations of the world.

The methodology for global financial domination is really quite simple: America imports more goods than it exports and therefore dollars flow out of the U.S. and accumulate in the central banks of other countries. Since the U.S. has refused to honor these obligations in gold, the central banks are forced to invest in U.S. treasury bills, bonds and other U.S. financial instruments that pay interest which is financed by the issuance of further debt. The result is a U.S.-dominated global financial system dependent upon maintaining the value, or more correctly, minimizing the rate of depreciation, of the dollar,⁷ allowing the U.S. to enjoy an extravagant consumer-based economy at the expense of the rest of the world.

Regarding the insidious U.S. debt-domination process, Wall Street analyst Michael Hudson explains that “by running balance-of-payments deficits that it refuses to settle in gold, it has obliged foreign governments to invest their surplus dollar holdings in Treasury bills, that is, to relend their dollar inflows to the U.S. Treasury.”⁸ The system is somewhat self-perpetuating, for should a non-U.S. central bank decide to divest its dollars, it would effectively sabotage the economy in its own country. Of course, foreign central banks and financial institutions are well aware that by investing in U.S. treasury securities, they will lose money since the Federal Reserve will only turn around and “print” more dollars, thus further diluting the value of their reserves. However, if these foreign institutions would fail to reinvest their dollars in more T-bills, the rate of depreciation of their dollar holdings would accelerate dramatically.⁹ Such awareness holds most governments in check, preventing wholesale dumping of dollars, which of course would bring the entire global system down, along with the IBC.

“Hence, demand for U.S. dollars and government and agency bonds continues even as [dollar] value falls. The losses on these holdings represent a tax paid to the ‘Empire,’” writes Catherine Austin Fitts, adding, “The fundamental system is as old as the hills. It is based on force.”¹⁰ Conversely, this ability of the IBC to call upon the U.S. military, which incidentally consumes 40 percent of global military spending, whenever and wherever the cartel’s interests are threatened, results directly from the global dominance of the dollar.¹¹ India-based scholar and social activist Rohini Hensm writes, “It is the dominance of the dollar that underpins US financial dominance as a whole as well as the apparently limitless spending power that allows it to keep hundreds of thousands of troops stationed all over the world.”¹² In short, dollar dominance allows the obscenely profligate spending to maintain the U.S. military’s global presence, which in turn insures the continuing hegemony of the dollar.

Nevertheless, an increasing number of challenges to this dollar hegemony regime have arisen, some of which have necessitated suppression by the U.S. military. Iraq is a good case in point. In November of 2000, former Iraqi dictator Saddam Hussein announced to the world that Iraq would no longer accept dollars for petroleum transactions. Despite the declining value of the Euro, Saddam demanded payment for Iraqi oil in the troubled currency while declaring dollars to be “the currency of the enemy,”¹³ demonstrating that even murderous tyrants, on occasion, can do the right thing. By 2002, Iraqi oil was being traded in Euros, effectively dumping the dollar.¹⁴ Former U.S. President George W. Bush, who was a deputy of the IBC from the oil industry, used the 9/11 terrorist attacks as a convenient excuse to invade Iraq in March 2003,¹⁵ thus eliminating Saddam’s threat to dollar domination.¹⁶

When former Libyan leader Muammar al-Qadhafi tried to establish a state-run central bank and trade petroleum in non-dollar currencies,¹⁷ the IBC tapped NATO to intervene. On March 19, 2011, a mere month after initial internal unrest, the Transitional National Council “rebels” announced they were establishing the Libyan Oil Company as the supervisory authority on oil production and policies, and designated the Central Bank of Benghazi as the authority for monetary policies. That a local group of rebels one month into a rebellion would form a national oil company and designate a private central bank astounded Robert Wenzel of the Economic Policy Journal who remarked, “I have never before heard of a central bank being created in just a matter of weeks out of a popular uprising.”¹⁸ Confirming suspicions of IBC involvement, the U.S. Treasury placed sanctions on Qadhafi’s National Oil Corporation, but assured the rebels,

“Should National Oil Corporation subsidiaries or facilities come under different ownership and control, Treasury may consider authorizing dealings with such entities.”¹⁹

Other countries have had enough of the IBC and its armed wing. Both Russia and China have expressed their distaste for the dollar status quo and U.S. threats of sanctions or military force. On Thursday, Sept. 6, 2012, China announced that any nation in the world that wishes to buy, sell, or trade crude oil can do using the Chinese currency, not the American dollar. Following suit the next day, Russia announced that it would sell China all the crude oil it wanted but it would not accept U.S. dollars.²⁰ In addition, Russia has recently unveiled a payment system, called the PRO 100 Universal electronic card, designed to bypass the IBC should it again decide to block credit card services to Russian banks.²¹ “There is little doubt in my mind but that Russia and China and no doubt many other countries around the world are getting angry as hell about the U.S. abusing its foreign currency privilege,” wrote investment banker Jay Taylor.²²

Iran, of course, has long been targeted by the IBC for refusing to surrender to U.S.-imposed sanctions and threats of military force. Iran had completely eliminated the use of U.S. dollars for oil trading by December 2007²³ and inaugurated its Bourse for trading petroleum in non-dollar currencies in February 2008, coinciding with the 29th anniversary of the victory of the Islamic Revolution.²⁴ Additionally, the IBC has tried to cut off Iran from using SWIFT, Society for Worldwide Interbank Financial Telecommunications, for international transactions,²⁵ but with the world's second-largest gas reserves and third-largest oil reserves, Iran retains the potential to strike a major blow against U.S. dollar hegemony.²⁶

The question is how can we put an end to this stranglehold on the global financial system by the IBC and its armed wing? Hensm gives us a simple, straightforward answer: “Destroy US dollar hegemony, and the ‘Empire’ will collapse.”²⁷ If more nations join Iran, Russia and China, and opt out of the U.S. dollar protection racket, then this evil “Empire” will surely collapse along with its armed wing.

Endnotes

¹ Catherine Austin Fitts, “The Military Holds the Dollar Up,” *The Solari Report Blog*, July 30, 2008, accessed March 30, 2014, <https://solari.com/blog/the-military-holds-the-dollar-up/>.

² Tina Lynn Evans, “Understanding the Political Economy of Enforced Dependency in the Globalized World: A Springboard for Sustainability-oriented Action,” *The Foundation for the Economics of Sustainability*, 2011, 53, accessed March 30, 2014, http://www.feasta.org/documents/enforced_dependency/enforced-dependency.pdf.

³ Dean Henderson, “The Federal Reserve Cartel: The Eight Families,” *Global Research*, June 1, 2011, accessed March 30, 2014, <http://www.globalresearch.ca/the-federal-reserve-cartel-the-eight-families/25080>.

⁴ History, *Financial Stability Board* website, no date, accessed March 30, 2014, <http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/about/history.htm>.

⁵ Mandate, *Financial Stability Board* website, no date, accessed March 30, 2014, <http://www.financialstabilityboard.org/about/mandate.htm>.

⁶ BIS History - Overview, *Bank of International Settlements* website, no date, accessed March 30, 2014, <https://www.bis.org/about/history.htm?l=2>.

⁷ Catherine Austin Fitts, *ibid.*

⁸ Tina Lynn Evans, *ibid.*, 54.

⁹ Catherine Austin Fitts, *ibid.*

¹⁰ Catherine Austin Fitts, *ibid.*

¹¹ Josh Zoffer, "Future of dollar hegemony: the dollar's role after the euro crisis," *Harvard International Review* 34-1 (2012), accessed March 30, 2014, <http://www.freepatentsonline.com/article/Harvard-International-Review/291498903.html>.

¹² Rohini Hensman, "Averting World War III, Ending Dollar Hegemony and US Imperialism," *Countercurrents.org* website, November 17, 2007, accessed March 29, 2014, <http://www.countercurrents.org/hensman171107.htm>.

¹³ William Dowell, "His Back on Greenbacks," *Time*, November 13, 2000, accessed March 30, 2014, <http://content.time.com/time/magazine/article/0,9171,998512,00.html>.

¹⁴ Jerry Robinson, "Preparing for the Collapse of the Petrodollar System, Part 3- The Petrodollar Wars: The Iraq-Petrodollar Connection," *FTM Daily*, no date, accessed March 30, 2014, <http://ftmdaily.com/preparing-for-the-collapse-of-the-petrodollar-system-part-3/>.

¹⁵ Charles Tripp, *A History of Iraq* 3rd ed. (Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press, 2007), 270-271.

¹⁶ Sohan Sharma, Sue Tracy, and Surinder Kumar, "The Invasion of Iraq: Dollar vs Euro: Re-denominating Iraqi oil in U. S. dollars, instead of the euro," *Z Magazine on Third World Traveler* website, February 2004, accessed March 30, 2014, http://www.thirdworldtraveler.com/Iraq/Iraq_dollar_vs_euro.html.

¹⁷ The Coming Depression Editorial Staff, "Top 3 NATO Excuses for Invading Libya – NWO Oil, Gold & Central Banking," *Morning Liberty*, August 24, 2011, accessed March 31, 2014, <http://www.morningliberty.com/2011/08/26/top-3-nato-excuses-for-invading-libya-nwo-oil-gold-central-banking/>.

¹⁸ Robert Wenzel, "Libyan Rebels Form Central Bank," *Economic Policy Journal*, March 28, 2011, accessed March 31, 2014, <http://www.economicpolicyjournal.com/2011/03/libyan-rebels-form-central-bank.html>.

¹⁹ "Treasury Identifies 14 Companies Owned by Libya's National Oil Corporation as Subject to Sanctions," Press Release, *U.S. Department of the Treasury*, March 22, 2011, accessed March 31, 2014, <http://www.treasury.gov/press-center/press-releases/Pages/tg1114.aspx>.

²⁰ "Dollar no longer primary oil currency as China begins to sell oil using Yuan," *Examiner.com*, September 12, 2012, accessed March 30, 2014, <http://www.examiner.com/article/dollar-no-longer-primary-oil-currency-as-china-begins-to-sell-oil-using-yuan>.

²¹ "Russia to launch its payment system in months, as disruption fears mount," *Russia Today*, March 24, 2014, accessed March 30, 2014, <http://rt.com/business/russia-payment-system-visa-889/>.

²² Jay Taylor, "The World Is Getting Sick and Tired of Dollar Orchestrated Theft," *Jay Taylor Media*, March 25, 2014, accessed March 30, 2014, <http://jaytaylormedia.com/the-world-is-getting-sick-and-tired-of-dollar-orchestrated-theft/>.

²³ "Iran stops selling oil in U.S. dollars -report," *Reuters UK*, December 8, 2007, accessed March 30, 2014, <http://uk.reuters.com/article/2007/12/08/uk-iran-oil-dollar-idUKDAH83366720071208>.

²⁴ "Iran Oil Bourse to deal blow to dollar," *Press TV*, January 4, 2008, accessed March 30, 2014, <http://edition.presstv.ir/detail/37468.html>.

²⁵ Pepe Escobar, "US wants SWIFT war on Iran," *Asia Times*, February 17, 2012, accessed March 30, 2014, http://www.atimes.com/atimes/Middle_East/NB17Ak04.html.

²⁶ "Iran launches oil bourse," *Press TV*, October 26, 2009, accessed March 30, 2014, <http://edition.presstv.ir/detail/109697.html>.

²⁷ Rohini Hensman, *ibid.*