Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:14 PM
10/22/26, 9:06 PM This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel. Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC. Israel can't rely on allies to counter AI-powered foreign disinformation campaigns | The Jerusalem Post

Advertisement

AI-powered disinformation is outrunning Israel's defenses in its own language - analysis

Foreign influence operations are now fluent in Hebrew, powered by AI and spreading faster than the government can respond

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:14 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:14 PM
This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel.
Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC.



Iranian-linked networks have emerged as a leading source of foreign influence operations targeting Israelis and seeking to exploit political divisions.
(photo credit: Kacper Pempel/Illustration/Reuters)

By **MARK GINSBERG**

SEPTEMBER 28, 2026 20:09

Updated: OCTOBER 3, 2026 13:51

The Jerusalem Report®

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:14 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:14 PM
10/22/26, 9:06 PM
This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel.
Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC.
Israel can't take a shortcut. A new book forces a hard question. | The Jerusalem Post

Not long before Israel's election, an account on X/Twitter posted an image of the prime minister and his wife hemmed in by menacing soldiers, with a caption wishing them the fate of the Ceausescus. The account carried an Israeli name and was written in native-sounding Hebrew.

FakeReporter, the Israeli watchdog that tracks fictitious accounts, linked it to an Iranian influence operation. That single post captures the problem that David Siman-Tov's analysis, "The war of trust," which appeared in the August 31 edition of *The Jerusalem Report* , left hanging. Attacks now arrive in fluent Hebrew at almost no cost, and the machinery meant to answer them is scattered across agencies that do not work as one.

Read More

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:14 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:14 PM
This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel.
Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC.

SEE MORE ON

Jerusalem Report | Israel Elections | Artificial intelligence | INSS | Protecting Against Cyber Threats

Follow us on **Google**

THE JERUSALEM POST

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:14 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:14 PM
This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel.
Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC.

Information

About Us

Contact us

Terms Of Service

Privacy Policy

JPost Jobs

Cancel Subscription

Sitemap

פרסום בג'רוזלם פוסט

JP Store

Customer Service

Advertise with Us

The Jerusalem Post Group

World News

Iran News

MDA Heroes

The Jerusalem Report

Jerusalem Post Lite

Real Estate Listings

Precious Metals

Travel

50 Most Influential Jews

Tools and services

JPost Premium

JPost Newsletter

Ivrit Talk

RSS feed

JPost.com Archive

Digital Library

לוח חגים ומועדים 2026

Lists of Jewish holidays

חדשות מעריב

זמני כניסת שבת

Sites Of Interest

Poalim Online

JNF-USA

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:14 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:14 PM

**This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel.
Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC.**
Copyright ©2026 Jpost Inc. All rights reserved • Terms of Use • Privacy Policy

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:14 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:16 PM
This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel.
Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC.



THE BLOGS

Mark Ginsberg

Why Israel Must Fight for Reality Itself



AI, the Internet, and our New Reality

By the time Israel manages to refute a lie, the lie has often travelled the world, been translated into dozens of languages, cited by activists, repeated by journalists, adopted at the United Nations, absorbed into school curricula, embedded into Wikipedia entries, and fed into the large language models increasingly shaping how billions understand current events.

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:16 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:16 PM
10/22/26, 9:12 PM This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel.
Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC. The Blog - Why Israel Must Fight for Reality Itself | Yaakov Hagiz | The Times of Israel

Israel is fighting a cognitive war alongside its wars against Hamas, Hezbollah, and Iran. It may ultimately prove just as consequential.

For decades, Israel's enemies understood something that many Israelis dismissed as secondary: victory is not achieved only on the battlefield. It is achieved in the realm of perception, and by determining which facts people encounter, whose voices are considered authoritative, and which narratives become accepted truth.

The objective is not simply to persuade, it is to construct an alternative reality.

In that reality, Zionism, the national liberation movement of the Jewish people, is no longer understood as the movement through which an indigenous people returned to its ancestral homeland after centuries of persecution and exile. Instead, it is recast as a uniquely illegitimate colonial enterprise.

In that reality, the world's only Jewish state is transformed from a nation defending itself against organizations openly committed to its destruction into the primary source of instability in the Middle East.

In that reality, claims of "genocide" and deliberate "starvation" are treated not as contested allegations requiring rigorous evidentiary standards, but as established facts repeated with increasing certainty.

None of this happened by accident.

The old propaganda model depended on newspapers, pamphlets, television broadcasts, and speeches. The new model is networked, decentralized, and self-reinforcing.

A report by a respected or even highly-suspect NGO is cited by journalists, journalists are citec by international organizations, international organizations are cited by Wikipedia editors, Wikipedia becomes a source for students, activists, and casual readers.

Large language models trained on publicly available material absorb these narratives as consensus, and future journalists then consult those same systems for background

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:16 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:16 PM
10/22/26, 9:12 PM This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel. Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC. The Blogs - Why Israel Must Fight for Reality Itself | Media Dismissal | The Times of Israel

information.

The loop closes but has endless ramifications.

What begins as an allegation ends as accepted reality.

We have already witnessed how this process operates.

The controversy surrounding recent reporting on allegations of Israeli sexual abuse by Nicolas Kristoff of the New York Times offered a revealing case study.

Many of his sources can be traced back to one organization, the Euro-Med Human Rights Monitor, which is run by a virulently anti-Israel obsessive with ties to Hamas and a history of incendiary and false accusations against Israel.

These libels were then amplified through media reporting, echoed in international discourse, and entered broader public consciousness before underlying evidentiary standards had been fully scrutinized.

Nonetheless, the issue extends far beyond any single article or report.

Increasingly, a relatively small number of highly motivated activists understand that the architecture of knowledge itself has become contested territory.

Edit histories on online encyclopedias, search engine optimization strategies, coordinated social media campaigns, selective sourcing practices, and advocacy-driven reports all influenc how future generations understand today's events.

Wikipedia occupies a particularly important place in this ecosystem. For millions of people, it serves as the first stop for understanding complex topics. Researchers have demonstrated that organized groups of editors have systematically shaped entries relating to Israel, Zionism, terrorism, and the Israel-Palestinian conflict.

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:16 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:16 PM
This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel.
Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC.

This should alarm anyone committed to intellectual integrity: open knowledge platforms can be vulnerable to sustained ideological capture.

The consequences extend into artificial intelligence.

Large language models do not independently discover truth; they identify patterns within the information on which they are trained.

If the available corpus increasingly reflects distorted narratives, omissions, activist framing, or ideologically curated sourcing, those distortions risk becoming embedded into the next generation of digital knowledge tools.

Students asking basic questions about Zionism, the history of the conflict, or the nature of terrorist organizations such as Hamas may increasingly receive answers reflecting accumulated biases rather than balanced historical understanding.

The danger is not that technology becomes anti-Israel.

The danger is that technology mistakes activism for consensus.

Israel's adversaries grasp the significance of this shift.

Iran invests heavily in state media, influence operations, and digital manipulation. Hamas has long understood the value of imagery, symbolism, and emotional narratives. Hezbollah operates sophisticated media networks alongside its military infrastructure.

Meanwhile, many Israelis still cling to the comforting belief that facts speak for themselves.

They do not.

Facts require advocates, evidence requires distribution, and truth requires infrastructure.

This does not mean Israel should embrace disinformation, fabricate evidence, or abandon its democratic values. Quite the opposite. Democracies possess an enormous strategic advantage

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:16 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:16 PM
10/22/26, 9:12 PM This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel. Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC. The Blogs - Why Israel Must Fight for Reality Itself | MediaGiscus | The Times of Israel

reality itself.

However, reality only matters if people encounter it.

Israel needs to invest seriously in the defense of truth. That means training a generation of editors, researchers, historians, technologists, and communicators capable of engaging where public understanding is actually formed.

It means ensuring that accurate information appears not merely in government archives but in search results, educational resources, encyclopedias, social media feeds, podcasts, documentaries, and AI training datasets.

It means recognizing that a press release issued in Jerusalem is not enough if millions encounter an opposing narrative first through TikTok, Wikipedia, Google, or ChatGPT.

Israel must compete in the marketplace of ideas with the same seriousness that it approaches missile defense.

The cognitive war will not end with a ceasefire in Gaza, a weakened Hezbollah, or a nuclear agreement with Iran. It is a long-term struggle over historical memory, moral legitimacy, and the boundaries of truth itself.

If others write the story of Zionism, of October 7, of Jewish indigeneity, and of Israel's right to defend itself, then military victories may prove temporary while narrative defeats become permanent.

The first responsibility of a free society is to defend its citizens.

The second is to defend reality.

Today, for Israel, the two have become inseparable.

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:16 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:16 PM
This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel.
Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Mark Ginsberg is an Israel-based digital marketer and commentator on technology and artificial intelligence, with over two decades of firsthand perspective on the country's innovation economy. Originally from South Florida and now based in Efrat, he analyzes the growth of Israel's tech ecosystem and the broader implications of AI on business, policy, and society.

Conversation

Discussions are moderated for civility. Read the guidelines here.

Log in

Be the first to comment...

No one seems to have shared their thoughts on this topic yet

Leave a comment so your voice will be heard first.

Powered by OpenWeb

Terms | Privacy | Feedback

AdChoices

Sponsored

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:16 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:16 PM
This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel.
Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC.

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:16 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:18 PM
This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel.
Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC.



THE BLOGS

Mark Ginsberg

You Cannot Boycott Israel Only When It Is Convenient



Israeli settlement of Maalah Adumim - image courtesy of Shutterstock

The British Government's decision to ban trade in goods produced in Israeli communities in Judea and Samaria has been presented as a carefully calibrated measure: opposition to the settlements, not a boycott of Israel; pressure on a particular policy, not hostility towards an entire country. France, meanwhile, is pressing the European Union to impose similar restrictions, while Canada has warned its companies against economic involvement with

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:18 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:18 PM
10/22/26, 9:06 PM
This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel.
Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC.
The Right to Boycott Israel Only When It's Convenient | Mark Sirkin | The Times of Israel

Israeli settlements and joined Britain and France in imposing targeted sanctions connected to alleged settler violence.

The details differ, but the direction is unmistakable. Boycotting Israelis has begun to move from the slogans of activists into the policies of Western governments. The British ban may have only a limited direct economic effect. Trade in settlement goods represents a tiny fraction of the approximately £6 billion in annual commerce between Britain and Israel.

However, that is precisely why the measure is so revealing. It is designed less to change economic realities than to place an official badge of legitimacy on the principle of boycotting Jews depending on where they live.

Governments insist that a neat distinction can be maintained between Israel within the pre-1967 lines and Israeli communities beyond them. Experience tells us otherwise. For the international boycott movement, the settlements have always been the most politically acceptable point of entry.

First, boycott products from Judea and Samaria. Then exclude Israeli universities because they allegedly cooperate with the state. Boycott Israeli artists because they receive public funding. Target Israeli athletes because they compete under the Israeli flag. Pressure businesses because they operate anywhere in Israel. Eventually, the distinction between boycotting "the settlements" and boycotting Israel disappears altogether.

This is not a hypothetical slippery slope, it is the established pattern of the boycott campaign. Israeli academics who have no connection to the settlements have been excluded. Israeli performers have faced demands that their appearances be cancelled. Sporting bodies have been pressured to expel Israel. Businesses operating inside Israel's internationally recognized borders have been targeted. Even individual Israelis have been attacked simply for having served in the IDF, as almost every Jewish Israeli is expected to do.

Recently, boycott activists even targeted an Adidas campaign featuring Shalev Biton, an Israeli amputee, while spreading false claims about his military service. Biton lost his leg in a motorcycle accident in 2021, two years before the present war began. None of that mattered. He was Israeli, he had once served in the IDF, and therefore he became an acceptable target.

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:18 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:18 PM
10/22/26, 9:06 PM
This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel.
Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC.
You Cannot Boycott Israel Only When It Is Convenient | Mark Sinclair | The Times of Israel

That is where the supposedly limited logic of boycott inevitably leads. It does not remain attached to a postcode or a particular political dispute. It attaches itself to people.

There is also a profound hypocrisy at the heart of the entire enterprise. The same countries that publicly condemn, sanction and boycott Israelis continue to benefit every day from Israeli ingenuity.

Their hospitals use Israeli medical technologies. Their citizens rely on Israeli-developed treatments, diagnostic systems and medical devices. Their computers and mobile phones contain technology designed or refined in Israeli research centers. Their farmers use Israeli irrigation and agricultural innovations. Their banks, airports, utilities and government departments depend on Israeli cybersecurity expertise.

When terrorists plan attacks in European cities, intelligence supplied by Israel can help prevent bloodshed. When hostile drones and missiles threaten Western forces or allies, Israeli defense technology becomes extremely desirable. Governments that denounce Israel in public frequently seek its experience in counterterrorism, missile defense, cyberwarfare, border security and intelligence gathering in private.

Apparently, Israeli goods may be morally unacceptable on the supermarket shelf but Israeli intelligence is entirely welcome when it saves European lives.

Those calling for boycotts are rarely willing to follow their own reasoning to its honest conclusion. They do not stop using their smartphones to investigate which components or patents may have emerged from Israel. They do not ask hospitals to remove Israeli medical equipment. They do not demand that Western intelligence agencies reject warnings originating from Israeli sources. They do not refuse Israeli cybersecurity protection when their personal information or national infrastructure is under attack.

Their boycott ends precisely where their comfort, health or security begins. That is not moral consistency, it is **political theatre**.

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:18 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:18 PM
10/22/26, 9:06 PM This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel. Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC. The Right: You Cannot Boycott Israel Only When It Is Convenient | Mark Sinclair | The Times of Israel

Nor are settlements treated according to any universal standard. There are territorial disputes occupations and contested borders across the world. Yet the international campaign to identify, label, isolate and economically punish individuals based on their address is directed with unparalleled intensity at the one Jewish state.

One may oppose Israeli settlement policy. Many Israelis do. One may argue about the future borders of Israel and a Palestinian state. Israelis have been doing so for decades. Nevertheless, legitimate criticism of policy does not require collective economic punishment, and it certainl does not justify creating a category of Israelis with whom commercial contact is considered morally contaminated.

The measures may also hurt the very Palestinians their advocates claim to defend. Israeli businesses operating beyond the Green Line have frequently employed Palestinians at wages and under conditions unavailable elsewhere in the Palestinian economy.

When such businesses are driven out, the consequences are not borne only by their Israeli owners. Palestinian employees lose their livelihoods as well. More fundamentally, boycotts do nothing to build the coexistence supposedly sought by their proponents. They discourage economic cooperation, punish shared workplaces and tell Israelis and Palestinians that separation, rather than engagement, is the international community's preferred model.

Britain, France and Canada will argue that their actions are intended to preserve the possibilit of two states. However, when they and a host of other countries recently recognized a Palestinian state for the same reason, this act of performative diplomacy failed to move the needle one iota.

Unilateral actions and punishment directed exclusively at Israel sends Palestinians the opposite message: that international pressure can deliver results without negotiation, compromise or recognition of Israel's security needs. It rewards rejectionism while removing incentives for direct dialogue.

Palestinians are encouraged to believe that international isolation will eventually force Israel to concede everything. Israelis are shown that even friendly governments are prepared to turn economic discrimination against them into policy.

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:18 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:18 PM
10/22/26, 9:06 PM
This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel.
Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC.
The Right to Boycott Israel Only When It's Convenient | Mark Ginsberg | The Times of Israel

The West cannot have it both ways. It cannot increasingly legitimize the boycott of Israelis while continuing to benefit from everything Israel contributes to its health, prosperity and security. It cannot treat Israeli innovation as indispensable and Israelis themselves as disposable.

If Britain, France and Canada believe that boycotts are the appropriate response to political disagreement, they should at least acknowledge the precedent they are creating.

The first step may be labelled "settlement goods," but the boycott movement has never hidden its ambition to travel much further.

Today it is a bottle of wine or a box of dates from Judea and Samaria. Tomorrow it will be an Israeli university, an Israeli artist, an Israeli athlete or an Israeli-owned company in Tel Aviv. Eventually, it is simply the Israeli.

The boycott movement understands this progression perfectly. It is time Western governments did too.

ABOUT THE AUTHOR

Mark Ginsberg is an Israel-based digital marketer and commentator on technology and artificial intelligence, with over two decades of firsthand perspective on the country's innovation economy. Originally from South Florida and now based in Efrat, he analyzes the growth of Israel's tech ecosystem and the broader implications of AI on business, policy, and society.

Conversation 1 Comment

Discussions are moderated for civility. Read the guidelines here.

Log in

What do you think?

Sort by **Best**

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:18 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:18 PM
This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel.
Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC.

D **dr.hangmannneuss**
24 September, 2026

A strategic relationship has consequences in both directions. Britain cannot expect Israeli intelligence as an entitlement while treating Israel as an adversary. Let London decide whose intelligence it would rather rely on.

Reply · Share

Powered by OpenWeb Terms | Privacy | Feedback

AdChoices Sponsored

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:18 PM

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:20 PM

This material is distributed by Clock Tower X LLC on behalf of the State of Israel.
Additional information is available at the Department of Justice, Washington, DC.

Received by NSD/FARA Registration Unit 10/23/2026 12:06:20 PM